



Suggested citation:

Ria Sonigra, Barry Lutz, Nuttada Panpradist, "Python-based algorithm for binary classification of lateral flow test for HIV drug resistance detection". [Abstract ID: 1533781]. Biomedical Engineering Society Meeting. Seattle. Washington.





Python-based algorithm for binary classification of lateral flow test for HIV drug resistance detection

- Ria Sonigra [Abstract ID: 1533781]

Lutz Lab, Department of Bioengineering, University of Washington, Seattle Under the guidance of Dr. Nuttada Panpradist







- OLA-Simple is a simplified lab kit (LFT) that classifies WT and MUT HIV strains
- 5-10% operators in LMICs mistakenly classify OLA Simple test image results
- Goal: Develop an automatic quantitative analysis system for the OLA-Simple test



Image Source – Fig. 1a, Panpradist et. al. 2019, EbioMedicine





WT : Wild Type; MUT : Mutant; IND : Indeterminate



Algorithm Pipeline



OpenCV, a computer vision Python library with built-in functions useful for image preprocessing



Initial Results

WT			MUT			IND		
WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND
111	0	59	5	38	17	0	0	10
True WT : 111/170			True	e MUT : 38	3/60	True IND : 10/10		
= 65.3%				= 63.33%		= 100%		

Increasing the contrast using Pillow or OpenCV did not increase model efficiency.

Function Used for Conversion to Gray (Step 3)	Binary Threshold {205, 225}	Adaptive Threshold (mean) {55,8}	Adaptive Threshold (Gaussian mean) {89,4}
COLOR_BGR2GRAY [0.299·B+0.587·G+0.114·R]	WT =65.3% MUT = 63.33% IND = 100%	WT = 144/170 = 84.7% MUT = 51/60 = 85% IND = 100%	WT = 156/170 = 91.76% MUT = 56/60 = 93.33% IND = 100%
COLOR_RGB2GRAY [0.299·R+0.587·G+0.114·B]	WT = 117/170 = 68.82% MUT = 35/60 = 58.33% IND = 100%	WT = 152/170 = 89.41% MUT = 52/60 = 86.66% IND = 100%	WT = 161/170 = 94.71% MUT = 59/60 = 98.33% IND = 100%









Optimization - to decide the number and position of columns to be extracted for counting bands (step 5 and 6) **Conclusion** - 3 columns positioned at 1/3rd, 1/2th, and 2/3rd of the ROI width gave the best accuracy







Results

Summary of highest accuracy classified by our pipeline

WT			MUT			IND		
WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND
168	2	0	0	60	0	2	1	7
True WT : 168/170			True MUT : 60/60			True IND : 7/10		
= 99%				= 100%		= 70%		

- Misclassified WT images had erroneous ROI selection
- Limited accuracy of IND due to the nature of our dataset [IND only 2% 5%]
- Misclassified IND images had very light MUT or WT band, showing that algorithm was more accurate in binary classification than the mode calls by the human eye



Wonders of the Grabcut algorithm



4	-	

ROI	
coordinates	Goe
extracted as	algo
area selected	pip
by user	

Goes into our algorithm pipeline

WT			MUT			IND		
WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND	WT	MUT	IND
169	1	0	0	60	1	0	0	10
True WT : 169/170			True MUT : 59/60			True IND : 10/10		
= 99.41%				= 98.33%		= 100%		

- In-built algorithm in Python; misclassified images had low band intensity
- Requires user interaction wherein users select the coordinates of the ROI



Acknowledgements

Guidance and collaboration:

Dr. Nuttada Panpradist Prof. Barry Lutz Mutembesa Daniel Parker Ruth Jason Rupp Other Lutz lab members Check out other 10 BMES posters/talks: download here:



